Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,001	AGOSTINI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.	(3)	
(2) Mr Henry Young.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 March 2004	Time: <u>1400</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative with request for examiner's amendment. The amendment included clarifying language in claim 1B2 regarding the two initiators. From the language it was not clear if the initiators were used together or separately. The applicants authorized to change the language of the claim to read "selected from" to further clarify that the two initiators are utilized separately. The applicants further authorized to amend the title of the invention to conform to the requirements of MPEP. .